<u>LETTERS</u>

A Novel Intramolecular Homoenolate Annulation Leading to the Formation of Cyclopentene-Fused Macrocycles $^{\parallel}$

K. C. Seetha Lakshmi,^{†,‡} C. R. Sinu,[†] D. V. M. Padmaja,[†] Anilkumar Gopinathan,[‡] Eringathodi Suresh,[§] and Vijay Nair^{*,†}

[†]Organic Chemistry Section, National Institute for Interdisciplinary Science and Technology (CSIR-NIIST), Trivandrum 695 019, India

[‡]School of Chemical Sciences, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam 686 560, India

[§]Analytical Department and Centralized Instrument Facility, CSIR-CSMCRI, Bhavnagar 364 002, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A nucleophilic heterocyclic carbene-mediated intramolecular homoenolate reaction strategy for the efficient synthesis of cyclopentene-fused macrocycles is reported.



In the general context of organocatalysis,¹ reactions catalyzed by N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs)² are in vogue. During the past decade, a variety of NHC-catalyzed reactions leading to a wide range of products have been reported from different laboratories. Of special interest to us have been the NHCcatalyzed reactions of enals with aldehydes via the intermediacy of homoenolate pioneered by Glorius³ and Bode.⁴ Inter alia, homoenolate reactions have been successfully employed in the synthesis of cyclopentenes,^{5,6} lactams,⁷ γ -butyrolactones,^{3,4,8} cyclopentanols,⁹ pyrazolidinones,¹⁰ γ -spirolactones,¹¹ and pyranones.¹²

Interestingly, however, despite the plethora of reactions uncovered in this area, very little is known about intramolecular participation of homoenolate.¹³ Recently, we reported an intramolecular reaction in which cinnamaldehyde-appended 2-*O*-alkenoate on treatment with NHC underwent a cascading process leading to the formation of a coumarin derivative (Scheme 1).¹⁴

The success of the above reaction prompted us to explore other intramolecular homoenolate reactions. In this context, we speculated that a cinnamaldehyde tethered to a chalcone may be prone to undergo homoenolate reactions under the influence of NHC to deliver a cyclopentene derivative or a γ -lactone.

Scheme 1. Previous Work



In a prototype experiment, the chalcone-appended enal 3a was exposed to imidazolium precatalyst 4a and DBU in dry THF under argon atmosphere. After 24 h of stirring, the solvent was removed and crude product on column chromatography afforded the product 5a as white solid in 20% yield (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. NHC-Catalyzed Annulation of Chalcone-Appended Enal



The structure of compound **5a** was assigned by usual spectroscopic analysis. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the peak corresponding to olefinic proton was discernible as a singlet at δ 6.34. All other signals were in good agreement with the assigned structure. Conclusive evidence for the structure and relative stereochemistry of **5a** was ascertained from the single-crystal X-ray data (Figure 1).

In view of the pleasing result, it was decided to carry out optimization studies in detail. For this, commonly available NHC precursors 4a-h were used for screening (Table 1).

Received:August 5, 2014Published:October 20, 2014



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of 5a





The poor results obtained with 4a and 4b may be attributed to steric factors. However, no conclusion can be drawn for the efficiency of 4c vis-à-vis 4d. Further, we examined other factors like solvent, base, and temperature on the reaction, and the results are summarized in Table 2.

In order to examine the generality of the reaction, various enal substrates have been used, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

The above results prompted us to extend the reaction to substrates with varying chain lengths. To our delight, in these cases also the reaction afforded the cyclopentene-fused macrocycles (Figure 2).

The plausible mechanism for the formation of cyclopentenes may be outlined as follows. The homoenolate I formed by the reaction of NHC with enal undergoes conjugate addition to the chalcone moiety and subsequent to a proton transfer generates

Table 2. Condition Optimization



Table 3. Scope of the Reaction^a



entry	\mathbb{R}^1	R ²	R ³	product	yield ^b (%)
1	Н	Ph	Н	5a	62
2	Br	4-OMeC ₆ H ₄	Н	5b	76
3	Cl	Ph	Н	5c	72
4	Br	$4-BrC_6H_4$	Br	5d	69
5	Br	$4-BrC_6H_4$	Н	5e	68
6	Cl	$4-BrC_6H_4$	Н	5f	65
7	Н	Ph	CH_3	5g	67
8	Cl	4-OMeC ₆ H ₄	Н	5h	62
9	Br	Ph	Н	5i	58
10	Br	thienyl	Н	5j	67
11	Br	furyl	Н	5k	61
12	Br	pyridyl	Н	51	62
13	Н	4-BrC ₆ H ₄	Н	5m	57 $(68)^c$
14	Н	Ph	Br	5n	55
15	Br	thienyl	CH_3	50	$48 (55)^c$
16	Н	$4-MeC_6H_4$	Br	5p	63

^{*a*}Reactions were carried out with chalcone-appended enal 3 (0.2 mmol), carbene precursor 4c (15 mol %), and DBU (20 mol %) in 3 mL of dry THF (refluxed for 3 h). ^{*b*}Yields of isolated pure compounds. ^{*c*}Yields based on recovered starting material in parentheses. It is surmised that the relatively lower yield of products in these reactions vis a vis other homoenolate reactions may be attributed to polymerization via intermolecular reactions as indicated by the presence of small amounts polymeric materials in the reaction mixture.

the enolate II, which then participates in the intramolecular aldol reaction to afford the cyclopentane carbinolate III. The latter undergoes lactone formation accompanied by the ejection of NHC. The β -lactone V thus formed is unstable, and it undergoes a retro [2 + 2] process to yield the cyclopentene 5a, with the loss of carbon dioxide (Scheme 3). It may be mentioned that the sequence of events presented here is



Figure 2. Cyclopentenes fused to different macrocycles.

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism



analogous to the one that was established experimentally^{5a} and theoretically¹⁵ for the 1,3,4-triarylcyclopentene synthesis reported previously.

Encouraged by the above results, we decided to study the reaction of chalcone-appended enal 9 having the alkyl chains linked through a catechol moiety under the same reaction conditions. The catechol-linked tether was interesting since it offered the potential for the synthesis of novel "semicrown" molecules. To our delight, cyclopentene 10 was obtained albeit in lower yield (Scheme 4).

In conclusion, we have uncovered a new intramolecular homoenolate annulation leading to the formation of cyclopentene-bearing macrocycles. It is conceivable that this

Scheme 4



protocol may be useful for the construction of semicrown ethers and related compounds.¹⁶

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and spectral data for the products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: vijaynair 2001@yahoo.com.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi, for a Raja Ramanna Fellowship. We also thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and the University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi, for financial assistance.

DEDICATION

^{II}Dedicated with best wishes to Professor Gilbert Stork.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138.
 (b) Seayad, J.; List, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 719. (c) Gaunt, M. J.; Johansson, C. C. C.; McNall, Y. A.; Vo, N. T. Drug Discovery Today 2006, 12, 8. (d) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 9267.
 (e) Mukherjee, S.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471. (f) MacMillan, D. W. C. Nature 2008, 455, 304.
 (g) Dondoni, A.; Massi, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4638.
 (h) Bertelsen, S.; Jorgensen, K. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2178.
 (i) Alba, A.-N.; Companyo, X.; Viciano, M.; Rios, R. Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 13, 1432. (j) Marques-Lopez, E.; Herrera, R. P.; Christmann, M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1138. (k) Renzi, P.; Bella, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 6881. (l) Hernandez, J. G.; Juaristi, E. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5396. (m) Alemán, J.; Cabrera, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 42, 774.

(2) For reviews on NHC catalysis, see: (a) Nair, V.; Bindu, S.; Vellalath, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5130. (b) Christmann, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2632. (c) Zeitler, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7506. (d) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Henseler, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5606. (e) Marion, N.; Diez Gonzalez, S.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2988. (f) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Babu, B. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 2691. (g) Phillips, E. M.; Chan, A.; Scheidt, K. A. Aldrichimica Acta 2009, 42, 55. (h) Moore, J. L.; Rovis, T. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 291, 77. (i) Nair, V.; Menon, R. S.; Biju, A. T.; Sinu, C. R.; Paul, R. R.; Jose, A.; Vellalath, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5336. (j) Biju, A. T.; Kuhl, N.; Glorius, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 1182. (k) Grossmann, A.; Enders, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 314. (1) Bugaut, X.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3511. (m) Ryan, S. J.; Candish, L.; Lupton, D. W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 4906. (n) Chen, X.-Y.; Ye, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 7991. (o) Chen, X.-Y; Ye, S. Synlett 2013, 24, 1614. (p) Mahatthananchai, J.; Bode, J. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 696. (q) Hopkinson, M. N.; Richter, C.; Schedler, M.; Glorius, F. Nature 2014, 510, 485.

(3) Burstein, C.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6205.
(4) Sohn, S. S.; Rosen, E. L.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14370.

(5) (a) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Poonoth, M.; Suresh, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8736. (b) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Babu, B. P.; Varghese, V.; Paul, R. R.; Suresh, E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4861. (c) Nair, V.; Paul, R. R.; Padmaja, D. V. M; Aiswarya, N.; Sinu, C. R.; Jose, A.

Organic Letters

Tetrahedron **2011**, *67*, 9885. (d) Paul, R. R.; Seetha Lakshmi, K. C.; Suresh, E.; Nair, V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 2046. (e) Sinu, C. R.; Padmaja, D. V. M; Jini, P.; Seetha Lakshmi, K. C.; Nair, V. Synlett **2013**, *24*, 1671.

(6) (a) Wadamoto, M.; Phillips, E. M.; Reynolds, T. E.; Scheidt, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10098. (b) Chiang, P.-C.; Kaeobamrung, J.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3520. (c) Chiang, P.-C.; Rommel, M.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8714. (d) Cardinal-David, B.; Raup, D. E. A.; Scheidt, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5345. (e) Cohen, D. T.; Cardinal-David, B.; Roberts, J. M.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Scheidt, K. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1068. (f) Cohen, D. T.; Eichman, C. C.; Phillips, E. M.; Zarefsky, E. R.; Scheidt, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7309. (g) Leong, W. W. Y.; Chen, X.; Chi, Y. R. Green Chem. 2013, 15, 1505.

(7) (a) He, M.; Bode, J. W. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3131. (b) Raup, D. E. A.; Cardinal-David, B.; Holte, D.; Scheidt, K. A. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 766. (c) Zheng, P.; Gondo, C. A.; Bode, J. W. Chem.-Asian J. 2011, 6, 614. (d) Zhao, X.; DiRocco, D. A.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12466. (e) Kravina, A. G.; Mahatthananchai, J.; Bode, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9433. (f) Zhang, B.; Feng, P.; Sun, L.-H.; Cui, Y.; Ye, S.; Jiao, N. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9198.

(8) (a) Burstein, C.; Tschan, S.; Xie, X.; Glorius, F. Synthesis 2006, 2418. (b) Li, Y.; Zhao, Z.-A.; He, H.; You, S.-L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1885. (c) Jang, K. P.; Hutson, G. E.; Johnston, R. C.; McCusker, E. O.; Cheong, P. U.- Y.; Scheidt, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 76.

(9) Nair, V.; Babu, B. P.; Vellalath, S.; Varghese, V.; Raveendran, A. E.; Suresh, E. Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 2507.

(10) Chan, A.; Scheidt, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2740.

(11) (a) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Poonoth, M.; Mohan, R.; Suresh, E. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 507. (b) Sun, L.-H.; Shen, L.-T.; Ye, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 10136. (c) Dugal-Tessier, J.; O'Bryan, E. A.; Schroeder, T. B. H.; Cohen, D. T.; Scheidt, K. A. Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4963. (d) Wang, Z.-D.; Wang, F.; Li, X.; Cheng, J.-P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 5634. (e) Seetha Lakshmi, K. C.; Paul, R. R.; Suresh, E.; Nair, V. Synlett 2014, 25, 0853. (f) Li, J. L.; Sahoo, B.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10515.

(12) (a) He, M.; Beahm, B.; Bode, J. W. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3817.
(b) Ryan, S. J.; Candish, L.; Lupton, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14176.
(c) Kaeobamrung, J.; Mahatthananchai, J.; Zheng, P.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8810.
(d) Nair, V.; Paul, R. R.; Seetha Lakshmi, K. C.; Menon, R. S.; Jose, A.; Sinu, C. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5992.
(e) Fang, X.; Jiang, K.; Xing, C.; Hao, L.; Chi, Y. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1910.
(f) Lv, H.; Mo, J.; Fang, X.; Chi, Y. R. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5366.
(g) Yang, L.; Wang, F.; Chua, P. J.; Lv, Y.; Zhong, L.-J.; Zhong, G. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2894.
(h) Candish, L.; Lupton, D. W. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 380.
(i) Fu, Z.; Sun, H.; Chen, S.; Tiwari, B.; Li, G.; Chi, Y. R. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 261.
(j) Albanese, D. C. M.; Gaggero, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 5631.

(13) (a) Burstein, C.; Tschan, S.; Xie, X.; Glorius, F. Synthesis 2006, 2418. (b) Struble, J.; Bode, J. W. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 4957. (c) Izquierdo, J.; Hutson, G. E.; Cohen, D. T.; Scheidt, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11686. (d) Ikota, H.; Ishida, T.; Tsukano, C.; Takemoto, Y. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8871.

(14) Sinu, C. R.; Padmaja, D. V. M; Ranjini, U. P.; Seetha Lakshmi, K. C.; Suresh, E.; Nair, V. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 68.

(15) (a) Domingo, L. R.; Zaragozá, R. J.; Arnó, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4884. (b) Verma, P.; Patni, P. A.; Sunoj, R. B. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5606.

(16) (a) Janus, K.; Sworakowski, J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 93.
(b) Li, Z.; Hu, F.; Liu, G.; Xue, W.; Chen, X.; Liu, S. H.; Yin, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 7702.